

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/836,045	04/16/2001	William P. Apps	RPC 0544 PUS	5964
33171 7:	590 10/02/2003		. EXAMINER	
KONSTANTINE J. DIAMOND 4010 E. 26TH STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90023			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· 🛌		<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/836,045	APPS, WILLIAM P.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or R ply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the material parameter adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· ·	ion of Claims Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the applicat	tion					
4)🖂	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
5)[7]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
-	ion Papers	a, o,					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•					
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachmer							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of in	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/836,045

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Apps et al. ('572), Apps et al. ('461), Apps et al. ('176), Apps ('352), Hammett ('487), Apps et al. ('002) and Apps et al. ('874).

Each of the references discloses a low depth bottle tray having an interior grid structure comprised of at least ten walls (four extending longitudinally and six extending transversely to the container) and three interior columns, a divider wall includes two of the ten walls which are parallel to each other and spaced transversely from each other and are transversely aligned, a divider wall could also include two of the ten walls which are longitudinally aligned with each other (either aligned longitudinally with respect to the container or transversely with respect to the container). A divider wall could also include two of the ten walls which are perpendicular with respect to each other.

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Apps et al. (*793).

A similar line of reasoning applies in this rejection as is stated in the above rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,045

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apps et al. ('793), Apps et al. ('572), Apps et al. ('461), Apps et al. ('176), Apps ('352), Hammett ('487), Apps et al. ('002) or Apps et al. ('874) in view of McGrath ('844) and Cornelius et al. ('767).

This rejection is made insofar as the anticipation rejection doesn't disclose divider walls, each divider wall defining two spaced apart surfaces.

The primary references disclose the invention except for the divider wall being a spaced surface construction. McGrath and Cornelius et al. teach divider walls, each divider wall defining two spaced apart surfaces. It would have been obvious to modify the primary references to have the divider spaced construction in order to strengthen the divider wall and to provide a greater amount of separation between bottles in the bottle trays so that the bottles will not touch one another or become damaged due to sudden impact loads on the transporting bottle tray.

Applicant's arguments filed July 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has further delineated the claims by mentioning that the bottle retaining pockets are sized to receive "a single bottle." If the pocket receives more than one bottle, the pocket is still sized to receive a single bottle.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,045

Art Unit: 3727

See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

sjc